

### **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

Due to clerical error, the paragraph line spacing for claim 18 was incorrect. Applicant has corrected and now resubmits Supplementary Amendment, to replace "Amendment I" which was previously filed on May 20, 2010.

In response to the Examiner's further Office Action of February 22, 2010 issued with respect to the present RCE application the Applicant respectfully submits the accompanying Amendment of the claims and the following Remarks.

#### ***Regarding Amendment***

In the Amendment:

independent claim 1 is amended to specify that the printer controller compensates for any relative skew within and between the rows of printing nozzles by printing one dot from one printing nozzle in each row at the same physical location on media and adjusting the dot data to align each of the dots. Support for this amendment can be found at paragraphs [3196]-[3204] of the present specification; and

dependent claims 2-11 and 16-18 are unchanged.

It is respectfully submitted that the Amendment does not add any new matter to the present application.

#### ***Regarding 35 USC 103(a) Rejections and Response to Arguments***

It is respectfully submitted that the subject matter of amended independent claim 1, and claims 2-11 and 16-18 dependent therefrom, is not taught or suggested by Madeley, Tschida, Askren, Dings, Hackleman, Kamoshida, Walmsley, King and Morita either considered alone or in view of one another, because none of the cited references teach or suggest a printer controller configured to compensates for any relative skew within and between the rows of printing nozzles by printing one dot from one printing nozzle in each row at the same physical location on media and adjusting the dot data to align each of the dots, as is required by the claimed invention. In particular, Tschida specifically discloses determining the angular orientation of each nozzle array by printing dots from two nozzles (see paragraph [0143]), which one of ordinary skill in the art understands means that these

dots are printing at different physical locations on media, which is contrary to the claimed invention.

It is respectfully submitted that all of the Examiner's rejections have been traversed. Accordingly, it is submitted that the present application is in condition for allowance and reconsideration of the present application is respectfully requested.

Very respectfully,



---

Kia Silverbrook, Managing Director

Silverbrook Research Pty Ltd

393 Darling Street

Balmain NSW 2041, Australia

Email: [pair@silverbrookresearch.com](mailto:pair@silverbrookresearch.com)

Telephone: +612 9818 6633

Facsimile: +61 2 9555 7762